## Conventional Network



## Network Design

- Network design is a strategic decision. It has a long-term impact on a supply chain's performance.
- It determines very much flexibility / responsiveness and cost effectiveness of a supply chain.
- Cost focus: Find the lowest-cost location for manufacturing facilities.
- Responsiveness: Locate facilities closer to the market to react quickly to changing market needs.


Whepe inventory needs to be for a one week order response time - typical results --> 1 DC



Where inventory needs to be for a next day order response time - typical results --> 13 DCs


Service and Number of Facilities


Where inventory needs to be for a 3 day order response time - typical results $->5 \mathbf{D C s}$


Where inventory needs to be for a same day / next day order response time - typical results --> 26 DCs


Inventory Costs and Number of Facilities


Number of facilities

## Transportation Costs and <br> Number of Facilities




## Consolidation Vs Localization

- Consolidation is necessary if products are relatively standard and virtually no local features are needed to be added.
- Localization is suitable for products need high responsiveness and local features are important.
- HP design different printer for North American, Europe, and Asia.

Facility Costs and Number of Facilities


Variation in Logistics Costs and Response Time with Number of Facilities


## Factor Influencing Network Design

Decisions

- Macroeconomic
- Politic
- Social
- Infrastructure

Macroeconomic Factors to Consider in Designing a Network

- Tariff and Tax incentives
- Guang Zhou offered free tax and other incentives for investors. Many manufacturing and High Tech. companies established factories in Guang Zhou as a result.
- US responded import and quota barriers by developing supplier in many countries.
- Exchange Rates and Demand Risks
- In 1980s yen was appreciated. Exporting companies received lower profits. Many companies responded by moving operations overseas.


## Factors Influencing Distribution Network Design

- Distribution network performance evaluated along two dimensions at the highest level:
- Customer needs that are met
- Cost of meeting customer needs
- Distribution network design options must therefore be compared according to their impact on customer service and the cost to provide this level of service



## The Role of Distribution in the Supply Chain

- Distribution: the steps taken to move and store a product from the supplier stage to the customer stage in a supply chain
- Distribution directly affects cost and the customer experience and therefore drives profitability
- Choice of distribution network can achieve supply chain objectives from low cost to high responsiveness
- Examples: Wal-Mart, Dell, Proctor \& Gamble, Grainger
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## Factors Influencing Distribution Network Design

- Elements of customer service influenced by network structure:
- Response time
- Product variety
- Product availability
- Customer experience
- Order visibility
- Returnability
- Supply chain costs affected by network structure:
- Inventories
- Transportation
- Facilities and handling
- Information



## Giobal Bussiness Unit

Beauty \& Grooming
Health \& Well Being
Household Care


Restrukturisasi P \& G:
Menjadi lebih efisien dan responsive

- Secara umum terjadi perampingan dari 11 region menjadi 7 region.
- North America
- South America
- Western Europe
- Middle East dan Eastern Europe
- North East Asia
- Greater China
- AAl dengan pusat di Singapore
- Thailand menjadi pusat produksi shampoo.
- Philippine akan dijadikan pusat pabrik detergent.
- Indonesia akan menjadi pusat produksi produk kesehatan dan perawatan rambut.
- Marketing berpusat di Singapore.


## KEUNTUNGAN RESTRUKTURISASI P \& G

- Penghematan biaya transportasi staff
- Peningkatan economic of relationship dengan supplier (membeli dengan skala yang lebih besar)
- Penghematan biaya promosi



Competitors Are Mushrooming:
Only 4 in 1997, more than 50 in 2001


## Toward Major Changes

## Problems with Initial Configuration

- Long
- Costly
- Unresponsive

126 days of fulfillment cycle:

- Transit from supplier to DC 30 days
- In DC 91 days
- From DC to stores 5 days


## The Project

- A team of 100 people from 14 organizations
- Involving 5 countries and 6 time zones
- Support from top management
- Incentives for keeping the spirit high
- Beer game to attract involvement (including suppliers)


## Results

## - Inventory turnover increases from 3 to 45

- Lead time decreases from 126 days to 8 days.
Cost savings of US \$ 50 million (from overhead, inventory, negotiation with suppliers)


## Gravity Location Models

- Is used to find the location that minimizes the cost of transporting raw materials from the points of supply and transporting finished goods to the customers.
- Let:
$\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{n}}$ : coordinate location of either a market or a supply point
$\mathrm{Cn} \quad$ : cost of shipping one unit for one km from or to location $n$ the facility to be located
Dn : Quantity to be shipped from or to location $n$ to the facility
dn : the distance to or from facility n to the facility
- The distance dn is approximated as follows: (If $(x, y)$ is the coordinate of the location of the facility)

$$
d_{n}=\sqrt{\left(x-x_{n}\right)^{2}+\left(y-y_{n}\right)^{2}}
$$

## MODELS FOR LOCATION PROBLEMS

- Single Facility Location: Center of Gravity, Grid, Centroid.
- Multi Facility Location: Multiple gravity, Mixed integer programming, Simulation, Heuristics.
- Capacitated Plant Location Model


## Re-engineered Configuration



- If there are k supply and market points then total cost of transportation to and from the facility is:

$$
T C=\sum_{n=1}^{k} d n D n C n
$$

- The location that minimizes the TC can be obtained with the following steps:

1. For each supply or market position $n$, calculate $d n$ as above
2. Obtain a new location ( $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}$ ) where:

$$
x^{\prime}=\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{k} \frac{D_{n} C_{n} x_{n}}{d_{n}}}{\sum_{n=1}^{k} \frac{D_{n} C_{n}}{d_{n}}} \quad y^{\prime}=\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{k} \frac{D_{n} C_{n} y_{n}}{d_{n}}}{\sum_{n=1}^{k} \frac{D_{n} C_{n}}{d_{n}}}
$$

3. If the new location is almost the same as $(x, y)$ then stop, otherwise set $(x, y)=\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$ and go to step 1 .

There are six existing facilities. The new one (a warehouse) will serve all six facilities.


First iteration using $(x, y)=(0,0)$, Result (6.0, 6.4)

| Xn | Yn | dn | Dn | Cn | DnCnXn/ <br> dn | DnCnYn/ <br> dn | DnCn/ <br> dn |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 1 | 5.1 | 100 | 1.5 | 147.1 | 29.4 | 29.4 |
| 4 | 6 | 7.2 | 700 | 1.8 | 698.9 | 1048.4 | 174.7 |
| 8 | 12 | 14.4 | 200 | 2.5 | 277.4 | 416.0 | 34.7 |
| 12 | 5 | 13.0 | 150 | 1.9 | 263.1 | 109.6 | 21.9 |
| 5 | 9 | 10.3 | 400 | 1.7 | 330.2 | 594.4 | 66.0 |
| 15 | 3 | 15.3 | 200 | 2.1 | 411.8 | 82.4 | 27.5 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$X^{\prime}=2128.5 / 354.2=6.0$
$Y^{\prime}=2280.2 / 354.2=6.4$

Third Iteration: Result (5.1, 6.9)

| Xn | Yn | dn | Dn | Cn | DnCnXn/ <br> dn | DnCnYn/ <br> dn | DnCn/d <br> n |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 5 | 1 | 5.9 | 100 | 1.5 | 126.8 | 25.4 | 25.4 |
| 4 | 6 | 1.7 | 700 | 1.8 | 3028.2 | 4542.4 | 757.1 |
| 8 | 12 | 5.7 | 200 | 2.5 | 698.7 | 1048.1 | 87.3 |
| 12 | 5 | 6.9 | 150 | 1.9 | 498.0 | 207.5 | 41.5 |
| 5 | 9 | 2.1 | 400 | 1.7 | 1590.5 | 2862.8 | 318.1 |
| 15 | 3 | 10.4 | 200 | 2.1 | 608.0 | 121.6 | 40.5 |
| Total |  |  |  |  | 6550.2 | 8807.8 | 1269.9 |

The Relevant Data

| $\mathbf{X n}$ | $\mathbf{Y n}$ | $\mathbf{d n}$ | $\mathbf{D n}$ | $\mathbf{C n}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 1 | 5.1 | 100 | 1.5 |
| 4 | 6 | 7.2 | 700 | 1.8 |
| 8 | 12 | 14.4 | 200 | 2.5 |
| 12 | 5 | 13.0 | 150 | 1.9 |
| 5 | 9 | 10.3 | 400 | 1.7 |
| 15 | 3 | 15.3 | 200 | 2.1 |

Second Iteration: Result (5.4, 6.9)

| Xn | Yn | dn | Dn | Cn | DnCnXn/ <br> dn | DnCnYn/ <br> dn | DnCn/d <br> n |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 5 | 1 | 5.5 | 100 | 1.5 | 136.6 | 27.3 | 27.3 |
| 4 | 6 | 2.0 | 700 | 1.8 | 2471.1 | 3706.6 | 617.8 |
| 8 | 12 | 5.9 | 200 | 2.5 | 672.7 | 1009.0 | 84.1 |
| 12 | 5 | 6.2 | 150 | 1.9 | 555.1 | 231.3 | 46.3 |
| 5 | 9 | 2.8 | 400 | 1.7 | 1220.5 | 2197.0 | 244.1 |
| 15 | 3 | 9.6 | 200 | 2.1 | 654.8 | 131.0 | 43.7 |
| Total |  |  |  |  | 5710.8 | 7302.1 | 1063.2 |

Fourth Iteration: Result (5.1, 6.9)

| Xn | Yn | dn | Dn | Cn | DnCnXn/ <br> dn | DnCnYn/ <br> dn | DnCn/d <br> n |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 5 | 1 | 5.9 | 100 | 1.5 | 127.0 | 25.4 | 25.4 |
| 4 | 6 | 1.5 | 700 | 1.8 | 3360.0 | 5040.0 | 840.0 |
| 8 | 12 | 5.8 | 200 | 2.5 | 687.5 | 1031.3 | 85.9 |
| 12 | 5 | 7.1 | 150 | 1.9 | 484.4 | 201.8 | 40.4 |
| 5 | 9 | 2.1 | 400 | 1.7 | 1611.8 | 2901.2 | 322.4 |
| 15 | 3 | 10.5 | 200 | 2.1 | 597.3 | 119.5 | 39.8 |
| Total |  |  |  |  | 6868.0 | 9319.1 | 1353.9 |

Final Position: Warehouse in $(5.1,6.9)$


## Problem Structure



## Problem

|  | Pasar |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Pabrik | Fixed | JTM | JTG | JB | JKT | SS | Kapasitas |
| Surabaya | 250 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 16 | 25 | $\mathbf{5 0 0 0}$ |
| Pasuruan | 165 | 10 | 12 | 17 | 18 | 25 | $\mathbf{3 2 0 0}$ |
| Gresik | 180 | 6 | 9 | 14 | 12 | 24 | $\mathbf{4 0 0 0}$ |
| Tangerang | 200 | 15 | 7 | 4 | 6 | 10 | $\mathbf{4 0 0 0}$ |


| Permintaan | 2000 | 1800 | 1500 | 3000 | 1700 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Capacitated Location Problem

- Suppose there are $n$ factories in different locations to be selected to satisfy demand in $m$ market areas. Each factory location is associated with a fixed cost. The production and delivery costs to from each factory to each demand point is
known.
- The problem to solve is: Which factory to open and from which factory each market demand is fulfilled?
- Suppose:
$\mathrm{i}=$ factory location (1, 2,...n)
j = demand point (1, 2, ... m)
$\mathrm{Dj}=$ demand of market area j
$\mathrm{Ki}=$ capacity of factory i
$\mathrm{fi}=$ annualized fixed cost for factory i
$\mathrm{cij}=$ cost of producing and delivering one unit of product from factory i to demand area
$y i=1$ if factory i is selected, 0 otherwise
xij $=$ the amount shipped from factory i to market j


## Capacitated Location Problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Minimise } \sum_{i} f_{i} y_{i}+\sum_{i} \sum_{j} c_{i j} x_{i j} \\
\sum_{i} x_{i j}=D_{j} \\
\sum_{j} x_{i j} \leq K_{i} y_{i} \\
x_{i j} \geq 0 ; \quad y_{i} \in(0,1)
\end{gathered}
$$

## Penyelesaian dengan software LINDO

- MIN 250 Y $1+165$ Y2 $+180 \mathrm{Y} 3+200 \mathrm{Y} 4+5 \mathrm{X} 11+10 \mathrm{X} 12+15 \mathrm{X} 13+16 \mathrm{X} 14+25 \mathrm{X} 15+10 \mathrm{X} 21$ $+12 \times 22+17 \times 23+18 \times 24+25 \times 25+6 \times 31+9 \times 32+14 \times 33+12 \times 34+24 \times 35+15 \times 41+7$ $\mathrm{X} 42+4 \mathrm{X} 43+6 \mathrm{X} 44+10 \mathrm{X} 45$
- SUBJECT TO
! Capacity of each factory
$\mathrm{X} 11+\mathrm{X} 12+\mathrm{X} 13+\mathrm{X} 14+\mathrm{X} 15-5000 \mathrm{Y} 1<=0$
$\mathrm{X} 21+\mathrm{X} 22+\mathrm{X} 23+\mathrm{X} 24+\mathrm{X} 25-3200 \mathrm{Y} 2<=0$ $X 31+X 32+X 33+X 34+X 35-4000 Y 3<=0$ $\mathrm{X} 41+\mathrm{X} 42+\mathrm{X} 43+\mathrm{X} 44+\mathrm{X} 45-4000 \mathrm{Y} 4<=0$

1 Demand of each market areas
$\mathrm{X} 11+\mathrm{X} 21+\mathrm{X} 31+\mathrm{X} 41=2000$ $\mathrm{X} 12+\mathrm{X} 22+\mathrm{X} 32+\mathrm{X} 42=1800$ $\mathrm{x} 13+\mathrm{x} 23+\mathrm{x} 33+\mathrm{x} 43=1500$ $\mathrm{x}_{14}+\mathrm{x} 24+\mathrm{x} 34+\mathrm{x} 44=3000$ $\mathrm{x} 15+\mathrm{X} 25+\mathrm{x} 35+\mathrm{x} 45=1700$ END


## Penyelesaian dengan Solver Excel

|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | Variabel | yi | Fixed | JTM | JTG | JB | JKT | SS | Total | Kapasitas |
| 14 | Surabaya | 0 | 250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5000 |
| 15 | Pasuruan | 0 | 165 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3200 |
| 16 | Gresik | 0 | 180 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4000 |
| 17 | Tangerang | 0 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4000 |
| 18 | total |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 19 | permintaan |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 0 0}$ |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | total biaya | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Factory and Warehouse Location-Delivery Problem


## Solution

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variabel | $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{i}}$ | JTM | JTG | JB | JKT | SS |
| Surabaya | 1 | 2000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pasuruan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gresik | 1 | 0 | 1800 | 0 | 2200 | 0 |
| Tangerang | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1500 | 800 | 1700 |

Solusi Akhir

|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | Gariabel | yi | Fixed | JTM | JTG | JB | JKT | SS | Total | Kapasitas |
| 13 | Var |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | Surabaya | 1 | 250 | 2000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2000 | 5000 |
| 15 | Pasuruan | 0 | 165 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3200 |
| 16 | Gresik | 1 | 180 | 0 | 1800 | 0 | 2200 | 0 | 4000 | 4000 |
| 17 | Tangerang | 1 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 1500 | 800 | 1700 | 4000 | 4000 |
| 18 | total |  |  | 2000 | 1800 | 1500 | 3000 | 1700 |  |  |
| 19 | permintaan |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 0 0}$ |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | total biaya | 81030 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Dari hasil di atas dapat diketahui hal-hal sebagai berikut

- Pabrik di Surabaya menyalurkan produk ke pasar JTM sebanyak 2000 unit.
- Pabrik di Gresik menyalurkan produk ke pasar JTG sebanyak 1800 unit dan ke pasar JKT sebanyak 2200 unit.
- Pabrik di Tangerang menyalurkan produk ke pasar JB sebanyak 1500 unit, ke JKT

800 unit, dan ke SS sebanyak 1700 unit.

- Total biaya pengiriman yang dihasilkan adalah sebesar Rp 81.030 per tahun

Factory and Warehouse Location-Delivery Problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Minimise } \sum_{i} f_{i} y_{i}+\sum_{w} f_{w} y_{w}+\sum_{i} \sum_{w} c_{i w} x_{i w}+\sum_{w} \sum_{j} c_{w j} x_{w j} \\
\sum_{w} x_{w j}=D_{j} \\
\sum_{w} x_{i w} \leq K_{i} y_{i} \\
\sum_{i} x_{i w}=\sum_{j} x_{w j} \\
\sum_{j} x_{w j} \leq K_{w} y_{w}
\end{aligned}
$$

Homework: Use LP software to solve the following problem. You are to decide the most economical factory and warehouse selections.

| From I To | W1 | W2 | W3 | Annual. <br> fixed <br> cost | Capacity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F1 | 320 | 330 | 400 | $2,200,000$ | 4000 |
| F2 | 400 | 300 | 370 | $1,800,000$ | 4800 |
| F3 | 200 | 220 | 250 | $2,500,000$ | 5200 |


| FIT | M1 | M2 | M3 | M4 | M5 | Ann. <br> Fixed <br> cost | Annual <br> Capacity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W1 | 420 | 320 | 320 | 220 | 180 | 200,000 | 3700 |
| W2 | 350 | 180 | 700 | 120 | 110 | 350,000 | 4800 |
| W3 | 230 | 620 | 200 | 340 | 250 | 380,000 | 5000 |
| Annual <br> Demand | $\mathbf{1 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 0 0}$ |  |  |

